

# INTRODUCTION TO THE POMERON <sup>1</sup>

J. Kwieciński

Department of Theoretical Physics

H. Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics

Kraków, Poland.

## Abstract

Elements of the pomeron phenomenology within the Regge pole exchange picture are recalled. This includes discussion of the high energy behaviour of total cross-sections, the triple pomeron limit of the diffractive dissociation and the single particle distributions in the central region. The BFKL pomeron and QCD expectations for the small  $x$  behaviour of the deep inelastic scattering structure functions are discussed. The dedicated measurements of the hadronic final state in deep inelastic scattering at small  $x$  probing the QCD pomeron are described. The deep inelastic diffraction is also discussed.

---

<sup>1</sup>Invited talk at the XXVIth Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics, Faro, Portugal, September 1996

The term "pomeron" corresponds to the mechanism of diffractive scattering at high energy. It is relevant for the description of several phenomena and quantities like the total cross-sections  $\sigma_{tot}(s)$  and their energy dependence, the real part of the scattering amplitude, the variation with energy of the differential elastic cross-section  $d\sigma/dt$ , behaviour of the diffractive cross-section  $d\sigma/dtdM^2$ , behaviour of the deep-inelastic scattering structure function  $F_2(x, Q^2)$  at low  $x$ , behaviour of the diffractive structure function etc.[1].

The simplest yet presumably very incomplete description of the pomeron is within the Regge pole model [2]. In this model one assumes that a pomeron is described by a Regge pole with the trajectory  $\alpha_P(t) = \alpha_P(0) + \alpha't$ . The scattering amplitude corresponding to the pomeron exchange is given by the following formula:

$$A(s, t) = -2g(t) \frac{\exp(-i\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_P(t))}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_P(t))} \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_P(t)} \quad (1)$$

where the function  $g(t)$  describes the pomeron coupling. The coupling  $g(t)$  factorizes i.e. if the amplitude  $A(s, t)$  describes elastic scattering  $a + b \rightarrow a + b$  then  $g(t) = g_a(t)g_b(t)$ . Using the optical theorem one gets the following high energy behaviour of the total cross-sections:

$$\sigma_{tot}(s) \sim \frac{\text{Im}A(s, 0)}{s} = \frac{g(0)}{s} \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_P(0)} \quad (2)$$

One also gets:

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Re}A(s, 0)}{\text{Im}A(s, 0)} = \cot g\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_P(0)\right) \quad (3)$$

with corrections from low lying Regge trajectories which vanish at high energies approximately as  $s^{-1/2}$ . It follows from (2) that the Regge-pole model of a pomeron can describe the increase of the total cross-sections with energy assuming  $\alpha_P(0) > 1$  but this parametrization will eventually violate the Froissart-Martin bound.

Phenomenological description of  $\sigma_{tot}$  and of  $d\sigma/dt$  proceeds in general along the following two lines:

1. One introduces the "effective" (soft) pomeron with relatively low value of its intercept  $\alpha_P(0) \approx 1.08$  [3] which can very well describe the high energy behaviour of all hadronic and photoproduction cross-sections (with possible exception of the one CDF point). In phenomenological analysis one also adds the reggeon contribution which gives the term  $\sigma_{tot}^R \sim s^{\alpha_R(0)-1}$  with  $\alpha_R(0) \approx 0.5$ . The power-like increase of the total cross-section has to be, of course, slowed down at asymptotic energies but those corrections are presumably still relatively unimportant at presently available energies. The term "soft pomeron" reflects the fact that bulk of the inelastic processes contributing to the total cross-section are the low  $p_t$  soft processes.
2. One considers from the very beginning the unitarized amplitude using the eikonal model [4]. In this model the partial wave amplitude  $f(s, b)$  has the form

$$f(s, b) = \frac{1}{2i} [\exp(-2\Omega(b, s)) - 1] \quad (4)$$

$$\Omega(s, b) = h(b, s) s^\Delta \frac{\exp(-i\frac{\pi}{2}\Delta)}{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}\Delta)} \quad (5)$$

where  $\Delta > 0$  and  $h(b, s)$  is the slowly varying function of  $s$ . The variable  $b$  denotes the impact parameter. The eikonal function can be viewed upon as originating from the "bare" pomeron with its intercept  $\alpha_P(0) = 1 + \Delta$  being above unity. The eikonal models with  $\Omega(s, b) \sim s^\Delta (\Delta > 0)$  lead to the scattering on the expanding (black) disk at asymptotic energies. The radius of the disk grows logarithmically with increasing energy (i.e.  $R(s) \sim \ln(s)$ ). This leads to the saturation of the Froissart-Martin bound at asymptotic energies and to the deviation of the shape of the diffractive peak from the simple exponential. Inelastic diffractive scattering becomes peripheral at asymptotic energies [5].

The cross-section of the diffractive dissociation  $a + b \rightarrow X + b'$  is given by the following formula:

$$s \frac{d^2\sigma}{dt dM_X^2} = \frac{1}{16\pi s} \frac{4}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_P(t))} g_b^2(t) \left(\frac{s}{M_X^2}\right)^{2\alpha_P(t)} A_{Pb;Pb}(M_X^2, t) \quad (6)$$

where, as usual,  $s = (p_a + p_b)^2$ ,  $M_X^2 = (p_a + p_b - p_{b'})^2$  and  $t = (p_b - p_{b'})^2$ . The function  $A_{Pb;Pb}(M_X^2, t)$  is the absorptive part of the forward *pomeron* +  $b$  "scattering" amplitude. For large  $M_X^2$  (but for  $s \gg M_X^2$ ) one can assume that this "scattering" is dominated by pomeron exchange i.e.

$$A_{Pb;Pb}(M_X^2, t) = g_{3P}(t) g_a(0) \left(\frac{M_X^2}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_P(0)} \quad (7)$$

where  $g_{3P}(t)$  is the triple pomeron coupling. The differential diffractive cross-section in the triple Regge limit takes then the following form:

$$s \frac{d^2\sigma}{dt dM_X^2} = \frac{1}{16\pi s} \frac{4}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_P(t))} g_b^2(t) \left(\frac{s}{M_X^2}\right)^{2\alpha_P(t)} g_{3P}(t) g_a(0) \left(\frac{M_X^2}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_P(0)} \quad (8)$$

For  $\alpha_P(t) = 1$  this formula gives the  $1/M_X^2$  spectrum for the diffractively produced system. The inelastic diffraction is affected by the multiple scattering corrections which lower the effective intercept of the pomeron and this effect is visible in Tevatron data [6, 7].

The single particle distributions in the central region are controlled by the double pomeron exchange diagram which gives the rapidity plateau:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^2p_t dy} = f(p_t^2) \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_P(0)-1} \quad (9)$$

where  $y$  denotes rapidity of the produced particle. The inclusive cross-section for particle production in the central region is not affected (asymptotically) by the rescattering corrections [8]. This implies that for the (bare) pomeron with intercept above unity the height of the plateau should increase as  $\left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_P(0)-1}$  with increasing  $s$ . Integrating the inclusive cross-section over the available phase-space we get:

$$\langle n \rangle \sigma_{tot} = const \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_P(0)-1} \ln \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right) \quad (10)$$

where  $\langle n \rangle$  denotes the average multiplicity of the produced particles. Since eventually  $\sigma_{tot} \sim \ln^2 \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)$  the formula (10) implies the power law increase of average multiplicity as  $\left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_P(0)-1}$ . It should be emphasized that the above statements hold at asymptotic energies and one can expect important finite energy corrections due to energy-momentum conservation

in multiple inelastic collisions. These effects are automatically taken into account in various microscopic models of a pomeron like, for instance, in the Dual Parton Model [9].

The Regge phenomenology may also be applicable in the analysis of the deep inelastic scattering in the limit when the Bjorken variable  $x$  is small. The inelastic lepton scattering (i.e. the reaction  $l(p_l) + p(p) \rightarrow l'(p'_l) + \text{anything}$ ) is related through the one photon exchange approximation to the forward virtual Compton scattering  $\gamma^*(Q^2) + p(p) \rightarrow \gamma^*(Q^2) + p(p)$  where  $Q^2 = -q^2$ ,  $q = p_l - p'_l$  and  $x = Q^2/2pq$ . The small  $x$  limit corresponds to  $2pq \gg Q^2$  i.e. to the high energy (Regge) limit of the forward virtual Compton scattering. The measured structure functions  $F_2(x, Q^2)$  and  $F_L(x, Q^2)$  are directly related to the total (virtual) photoproduction cross-sections  $\sigma_T$  and  $\sigma_L$  corresponding to transversely and longitudinally polarized photons:

$$F_2(x, Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{4\pi^2\alpha}(\sigma_T + \sigma_L) \quad (11)$$

$$F_L(x, Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{4\pi^2\alpha}\sigma_L \quad (12)$$

Assuming the conventional Regge pole parametrisation for  $\sigma_{T,L}$

$$\sigma_{T,L} = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha}{Q^2} \sum_i \left(\frac{2pq}{Q^2}\right)^{\alpha_i(0)-1} C_{T,L}^i(Q^2) \quad (13)$$

one gets the following small  $x$  behaviour for the structure functions:

$$F_{2,L} = \sum_i (x)^{1-\alpha_i(0)} C_{T,L}^i(Q^2) \quad (14)$$

where the sum in (13,14) extends over the pomeron and the reggeon contributions. The experimental results from HERA show that the structure function  $F_2(x, Q^2)$  for moderate and large  $Q^2$  values ( $Q^2 > 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2$  or so) grows more rapidly than expected on the basis of the straightforward extension of the Regge pole parametrization with the relatively small intercept of the effective pomeron ( $\alpha_P(0) \approx 1.08$ ) [10, 11]. This result is consistent with perturbative QCD which predicts much stronger increase of the parton distributions and of the DIS structure functions with decreasing parameter  $x$  than that which would follow from equation (14) with  $\alpha_P(0) \approx 1.08$ . The high energy behaviour which follows from perturbative QCD is often referred to as being related to the "hard" pomeron in contrast to the soft pomeron describing the high energy behaviour of hadronic and photoproduction cross-sections. The relevant framework for discussing the pomeron in perturbative QCD and the small  $x$  limit of parton distributions is the leading  $\log 1/x$  (LL1/ $x$ ) approximation which corresponds to the sum of those terms in the perturbative expansion where the powers of  $\alpha_s$  are accompanied by the leading powers of  $\ln(1/x)$  [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. At small  $x$  the dominant role is played by the gluons and the quark (antiquark) distributions as well as the deep inelastic structure functions  $F_{2,L}(x, Q^2)$  are also driven by the gluons through the  $g \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  transitions. Dominance of gluons in high energy scattering follows from the fact that they carry spin equal to unity. The basic dynamical quantity at small  $x$  is the unintegrated gluon distribution  $f(x, Q_t^2)$  where now  $x$  denotes the momentum fraction of a parent hadron carried by a gluon and  $Q_t$  its transverse momentum. The unintegrated distribution  $f(x, Q_t^2)$  is related in the following way to the more familiar scale dependent gluon distribution  $g(x, Q^2)$ :

$$xg(x, Q^2) = \int^{Q^2} \frac{dQ_t^2}{Q_t^2} f(x, Q_t^2). \quad (15)$$

In the leading  $\ln(1/x)$  approximation the unintegrated distribution  $f(x, Q_t^2)$  satisfies the BFKL equation [12, 13, 14, 15, 16] which has the following form:

$$f(x, Q_t^2) = f^0(x, Q_t^2) + \bar{\alpha}_s \int_x^1 \frac{dx'}{x'} \int \frac{d^2q}{\pi q^2} \left[ \frac{Q_t^2}{(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Q}_t)^2} f(x', (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Q}_t)^2) - f(x', Q_t^2) \Theta(Q_t^2 - q^2) \right] \quad (16)$$

where

$$\bar{\alpha}_s = \frac{3\alpha_s}{\pi} \quad (17)$$

This equation sums the ladder diagrams with gluon exchange accompanied by virtual corrections which are responsible for the gluon reggeization. The first and the second terms on the right hand side of eq. (16) correspond to real gluon emission with  $q$  being the transverse momentum of the emitted gluon, and to the virtual corrections respectively.  $f^0(x, Q_t^2)$  is a suitably defined inhomogeneous term. For the fixed coupling case eq. (16) can be solved analytically and the leading behaviour of its solution at small  $x$  is given by the following expression:

$$f(x, Q_t^2) \sim (Q_t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{x^{-\lambda_{BFKL}}}{\sqrt{\ln(\frac{1}{x})}} \exp\left(-\frac{\ln^2(Q_t^2/\bar{Q}^2)}{2\lambda'' \ln(1/x)}\right) \quad (18)$$

with

$$\lambda_{BFKL} = 4\ln(2)\bar{\alpha}_s \quad (19)$$

$$\lambda'' = \bar{\alpha}_s 28\zeta(3) \quad (20)$$

where the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(3) \approx 1.202$ . The parameter  $\bar{Q}$  is of nonperturbative origin. The quantity  $1 + \lambda_{BFKL}$  is equal to the intercept of the so - called BFKL pomeron. Its potentially large magnitude ( $\sim 1.5$ ) should be contrasted with the intercept  $\alpha_{soft} \approx 1.08$  of the (effective) "soft" pomeron which has been determined from the phenomenological analysis of the high energy behaviour of hadronic and photoproduction total cross-sections [3]. The solution of the BFKL equation reflects its diffusion pattern which is the direct consequence of the absence of transverse momentum ordering along the gluon chain. The interrelation between the diffusion of transverse momenta towards both the infrared and ultraviolet regions **and** the increase of gluon distributions with decreasing  $x$  is a characteristic property of QCD at low  $x$ . It has important consequences for the structure of the hadronic final state in deep inelastic scattering at small  $x$  [16].

In practice one introduces the running coupling  $\bar{\alpha}_s(Q_t^2)$  in the BFKL equation (16). This requires the introduction of an infrared cut-off to prevent entering the infrared region where the coupling becomes large. The effective intercept  $\lambda_{BFKL}$  found by numerically solving the equation depends on the magnitude of this cut-off. The running coupling does also affect the diffusion pattern of the solution. The effective intercept  $\lambda_{BFKL}$  turns out to be also sensitive on the (formally non-leading) additional constraint  $q^2 < Q_t^2 x'/x$  in the real emission term in eq. (16) which follows from the requirement that the virtuality of the last gluon in the chain is dominated by  $Q_t^2$  [17, 18]. The impact of the momentum cut-offs on the solution of the BFKL equation has also been discussed in refs. [19, 20]. In impact parameter representation the BFKL equation offers an interesting interpretation in terms of colour dipoles [21]. It should also be emphasised that the complete calculation of the next-to-leading corrections to the BFKL equation has recently become presented in ref. [22].

The structure functions  $F_{2,L}(x, Q^2)$  are driven at small  $x$  by the gluons and are related in the following way to the unintegrated distribution  $f$ :

$$F_{2,L}(x, Q^2) = \int_x^1 \frac{dx'}{x'} \int \frac{dQ_t^2}{Q_t^2} F_{2,L}^{box}(x', Q_t^2, Q^2) f\left(\frac{x}{x'}, Q_t^2\right). \quad (21)$$

The functions  $F_{2,L}^{box}(x', Q_t^2, Q^2)$  may be regarded as the structure functions of the off-shell gluons with virtuality  $Q_t^2$ . They are described by the quark box (and crossed box) diagram contributions to the photon-gluon interaction. The small  $x$  behaviour of the structure functions reflects the small  $z$  ( $z = x/x'$ ) behaviour of the gluon distribution  $f(z, Q_t^2)$ .

Equation (21) is an example of the " $k_t$  factorization theorem" which relates measurable quantities (like DIS structure functions) to the convolution in both longitudinal as well as in transverse momenta of the universal gluon distribution  $f(z, Q_t^2)$  with the cross-section (or structure function) describing the interaction of the "off-shell" gluon with the hard probe [23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. The  $k_t$  factorization theorem is the basic tool for calculating the observable quantities in the small  $x$  region in terms of the (unintegrated) gluon distribution  $f$  which is the solution of the BFKL equation.

The leading - twist part of the  $k_t$  factorization formula can be rewritten in a collinear factorization form. The leading small  $x$  effects are then automatically resummed in the splitting functions and in the coefficient functions. The  $k_t$  factorization theorem can in fact be used as the tool for calculating these quantities. The small  $x$  resummation effects within the conventional QCD evolution formalism have recently been discussed in refs. [28, 29, 30, 31]. One finds in general that at the moderately small values of  $x$  which are relevant for the HERA measurements, the small  $x$  resummation effects in the splitting function  $P_{qg}$  have a much stronger impact on  $F_2$  than the small  $x$  resummation in the splitting function  $P_{gg}$ . It should also be remembered that the BFKL effects in the splitting function  $P_{qg}(z, \alpha_s)$  can significantly affect extraction of the gluon distribution out of the experimental data on the slope of the structure function  $F_2(x, Q^2)$  which is based on the following relation:

$$Q^2 \frac{\partial F_2(x, Q^2)}{\partial Q^2} \simeq 2 \sum_i e_i^2 \int_x^1 dz P_{qg}(z, \alpha_s(Q^2)) \frac{x}{z} g\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q^2\right) \quad (22)$$

A more general treatment of the gluon ladder than that which follows from the BFKL formalism is provided by the Catani, Ciafaloni, Fiorani, Marchesini (CCFM) equation based on angular ordering along the gluon chain [32, 33, 34]. This equation embodies both the BFKL equation at small  $x$  and the conventional Altarelli-Parisi evolution at large  $x$ . The unintegrated gluon distribution  $f$  now acquires dependence upon an additional scale  $Q$  which specifies the maximal angle of gluon emission. The CCFM equation has the following form :

$$f(x, Q_t^2, Q^2) = \hat{f}^0(x, Q_t^2, Q^2) + \bar{\alpha}_s \int_x^1 \frac{dx'}{x'} \int \frac{d^2 q}{\pi q^2} \Theta(Q - qx/x') \Delta_R\left(\frac{x}{x'}, Q_t^2, q^2\right) \frac{Q_t^2}{(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Q}_t)^2} f\left(x', (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{Q}_t)^2, q^2\right) \quad (23)$$

where the theta function  $\Theta(Q - qx/x')$  reflects the angular ordering constraint on the emitted gluon. The "non-Sudakov" form-factor  $\Delta_R(z, Q_t^2, q^2)$  is now given by the following formula:

$$\Delta_R(z, Q_t^2, q^2) = \exp \left[ -\bar{\alpha}_s \int_z^1 \frac{dz'}{z'} \int \frac{dq'^2}{q'^2} \Theta(q'^2 - (qz')^2) \Theta(Q_t^2 - q'^2) \right] \quad (24)$$

Eq.(23) still contains only the singular term of the  $g \rightarrow gg$  splitting function at small  $z$ . Its generalization which would include remaining parts of this vertex (as well as quarks) is possible. The numerical analysis of this equation was presented in ref. [34].

The HERA data can be described quite well using the BFKL and CCFM equations combined with the factorization formula (21) [18, 35]. One can however obtain satisfactory description of the HERA data staying within the scheme based on the Altarelli-Parisi equations alone without the small  $x$  resummation effects being included in the formalism [36, 37]. In the latter case the singular small  $x$  behaviour of the gluon and sea quark distributions has to be introduced in the parametrization of the starting distributions at the moderately large reference scale  $Q^2 = Q_0^2$  (i.e.  $Q_0^2 \approx 4\text{GeV}^2$  or so) [36]. One can also generate steep behaviour dynamically starting from non-singular "valence-like" parton distributions at some very low scale  $Q_0^2 = 0.35\text{GeV}^2$  [37]. In the latter case the gluon and sea quark distributions exhibit "double logarithmic behaviour" [38]

$$F_2(x, Q^2) \sim \exp\left(2\sqrt{\xi(Q^2, Q_0^2)\ln(1/x)}\right) \quad (25)$$

where

$$\xi(Q^2, Q_0^2) = \int_{Q_0^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \frac{3\alpha_s(q^2)}{\pi}. \quad (26)$$

For very small values of the scale  $Q_0^2$  the evolution length  $\xi(Q^2, Q_0^2)$  can become large for moderate and large values of  $Q^2$  and the "double logarithmic" behaviour (25) is, within the limited region of  $x$ , similar to that corresponding to the power like increase of the type  $x^{-\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda \approx 0.3$ .

It is expected that absence of transverse momentum ordering along the gluon chain which leads to the correlation between the increase of the structure function with decreasing  $x$  and the diffusion of transverse momentum should reflect itself in the behaviour of less inclusive quantities than the structure function  $F_2(x, Q^2)$ . The dedicated measurements of the low  $x$  physics which are particularly sensitive to this correlation are the deep inelastic plus jet events, transverse energy flow in deep inelastic scattering, production of jets separated by the large rapidity gap and dijet production in deep inelastic scattering.

In principle deep inelastic lepton scattering containing a measured jet can provide a very clear test of the BFKL dynamics at low  $x$  [39, 40, 41, 42, 43]. The idea is to study deep inelastic  $(x, Q^2)$  events which contain an identified jet  $(x_j, k_{Tj}^2)$  where  $x \ll x_j$  and  $Q^2 \approx k_{Tj}^2$ . Since we choose events with  $Q^2 \approx k_{Tj}^2$  the leading order QCD evolution (from  $k_{Tj}^2$  to  $Q^2$ ) is neutralized and attention is focussed on the small  $x$ , or rather small  $x/x_j$  behaviour. The small  $x/x_j$  behaviour of jet production is generated by the gluon radiation. Choosing the configuration  $Q^2 \approx k_{Tj}^2$  we eliminate by definition gluon emission which corresponds to strongly ordered transverse momenta i.e. that emission which is responsible for the LO QCD evolution. The measurement of jet production in this configuration may therefore test more directly the  $(x/x_j)^{-\lambda}$  behaviour which is generated by the BFKL equation where the transverse momenta are not ordered. The recent H1 results concerning deep inelastic plus jet events are consistent with the increase of the cross-section with decreasing  $x$  as predicted by the BFKL dynamics [43, 44].

Complementary measurement to deep inelastic plus forward jet is the deep inelastic scattering accompanied by the forward prompt photon or forward prompt  $\pi^0$ . [45, 46]

Conceptually similar process is that of the two-jet production separated by a large rapidity gap  $\Delta y$  in hadronic collisions or in photoproduction [47, 48]. Besides the characteristic  $\exp(\lambda\Delta y)$  dependence of the two-jet cross-section one expects significant weakening of the azimuthal back-to-back correlations of the two jets. This is the direct consequence of the absence of transverse momentum ordering along the gluon chain.

Another measurement which should be sensitive to the QCD dynamics at small  $x$  is that of the transverse energy flow in deep inelastic lepton scattering in the central region away from the current jet and from the proton remnant [49]. The BFKL dynamics predicts in this case a substantial amount of transverse energy which should increase with decreasing  $x$ . The experimental data are consistent with this theoretical expectation [50]. Absence of transverse momentum ordering also implies weakening of the back-to-back azimuthal correlation of dijets produced close to the photon fragmentation region [51, 52].

Another important process which is sensitive to the small  $x$  dynamics is the deep inelastic diffraction [53, 54]. Deep inelastic diffraction in  $ep$  inelastic scattering is a process:

$$e(p_e) + p(p) \rightarrow e'(p'_e) + X + p'(p') \quad (27)$$

where there is a large rapidity gap between the recoil proton (or excited proton) and the hadronic system  $X$ . To be precise process (27) reflects the diffractive dissociation of the virtual photon. Diffractive dissociation is described by the following kinematical variables:

$$\beta = \frac{Q^2}{2(p - p')q} \quad (28)$$

$$x_P = \frac{x}{\beta} \quad (29)$$

$$t = (p - p')^2. \quad (30)$$

Assuming that diffraction dissociation is dominated by the pomeron exchange and that the pomeron is described by a Regge pole one gets the following factorizable expression for the diffractive structure function [56, 57, 58, 60, 59]:

$$\frac{\partial F_2^{diff}}{\partial x_P \partial t} = f(x_P, t) F_2^P(\beta, Q^2, t) \quad (31)$$

where the "flux factor"  $f(x_P, t)$  is given by the following formula :

$$f(x_P, t) = N \frac{B^2(t)}{16\pi} x_P^{1-2\alpha_P(t)} \quad (32)$$

with  $B(t)$  describing the pomeron coupling to a proton and  $N$  being the normalisation factor. Equation (31) for the diffractive structure function follows from equation (6) for the diffractive cross-section  $\gamma^* + p \rightarrow X + p$ . The function  $F_2^P(\beta, Q^2, t)$  is the pomeron structure function which in the (QCD improved) parton model is related in a standard way to the quark and antiquark distribution functions in a pomeron.

$$F_2^P(\beta, Q^2, t) = \beta \sum e_i^2 [q_i^P(\beta, Q^2, t) + \bar{q}_i^P(\beta, Q^2, t)] \quad (33)$$

with  $q_i^P(\beta, Q^2, t) = \bar{q}_i^P(\beta, Q^2, t)$ . The variable  $\beta$  which is the Bjorken scaling variable appropriate for deep inelastic lepton-pomeron "scattering", has the meaning of the momentum fraction



of the pomeron carried by the probed quark (antiquark). The quark distributions in a pomeron are assumed to obey the standard Altarelli-Parisi evolution equations:

$$Q^2 \frac{\partial q^P}{\partial Q^2} = P_{qq} \otimes q^P + P_{qg} \otimes g^P \quad (34)$$

with a similar equation for the evolution of the gluon distribution in a pomeron. The first term on the right hand side of the eq. (34) becomes negative at large  $\beta$ , while the second term remains positive and is usually very small at large  $\beta$  unless the gluon distributions are large and have a hard spectrum.

The data suggest that the slope of  $F_2^P$  as the function of  $Q^2$  does not change sign even at relatively large values of  $\beta$ . This favours the hard gluon spectrum in a pomeron [61, 62], and should be contrasted with the behaviour of the structure function of the proton which, at large  $x$ , decreases with increasing  $Q^2$ . The data on inclusive diffractive production favour the soft pomeron with relatively low intercept. The diffractive production of vector mesons seems to require a "hard" pomeron contribution [10, 11]. It has also been pointed out that the factorization property (31) may not hold in models based entirely on perturbative QCD when the pomeron is represented by the BFKL ladder [63, 64]. The factorization does not also hold when the exchange of the secondary Regge poles besides pomeron becomes important [65, 66]. The contribution of secondary Reggeons is expected to be significant at moderately small  $x_P$  and small values of  $\beta$ . The recent HERA results show violation of factorization in this region [66]. Finally let us point out that there exist also models of deep inelastic diffraction which do not rely on the pomeron exchange picture [67, 68].

To summarize we have recalled in this talk the Regge phenomenology of diffractive scattering based on the effective "soft" pomeron exchange and have briefly described the QCD expectations for deep inelastic lepton scattering at low  $x$ . Perturbative QCD predicts indefinite increase of gluon distributions with decreasing  $x$  which generates similar increase of the structure functions through the  $g \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  transitions. The indefinite growth of parton distributions cannot go on forever and has to be eventually stopped by parton screening which leads to the parton saturation. Most probably however the saturation limit is still irrelevant for the small  $x$  region which is now being probed at HERA. Besides discussing the theoretical and phenomenological issues related to the description of the structure function  $F_2$  at low  $x$  we have also emphasised the role of studying the hadronic final state in deep inelastic scattering for probing the QCD pomeron. Finally let us point out that the recent experiments at HERA cover very broad range of  $Q^2$  including the region of low and moderately large values of  $Q^2$ . Analysis of the structure functions in this transition region is very interesting [69] and may help to understand possible relation (if any) between the soft and hard pomerons.

## Acknowledgments

I thank Jorge Dias de Deus for his very kind invitation to the Multiparticle Dynamics Symposium, for very warm hospitality in Faro and for organizing an excellent meeting. I thank Barbara Badelek, Krzysztof Golec-Biernat, Alan Martin and Peter Sutton for most enjoyable research collaborations on some of the problems presented in this review. This research has been supported in part by the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research grant 2 P03B 231 08 and the EU under contracts Nos. CHRX-CT92-0004/CT93-357.

# References

- [1] M. Derrick, These Proceedings.
- [2] P.D.B. Collins, "An Introduction to Regge Theory and High Energy Physics", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977.
- [3] A. Donnachie and P.V. Landshoff, Phys. Lett. **B296**, 257 (1992).
- [4] T.T. Wu, talk presented at the International Conference on Elastic and Diffractive Scattering, Frontiers in Strong Interactions, Chateau de Blois, France, June 1995.
- [5] U. Maor, talk presented at the International Conference on Elastic and Diffractive Scattering, Frontiers in Strong Interactions, Chateau de Blois, France, June 1995.
- [6] K. Goulianos, talk presented at the International Conference on Elastic and Diffractive Scattering, Frontiers in Strong Interactions, Chateau de Blois, France, June 1995.
- [7] F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. D **50**, 5535 (1994).
- [8] V.A. Abramovski, V.N. Gribov, O.V. Kancheli, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **18**, 308 (1973).
- [9] A. Capella et al., Phys. Rep. **236**, 225 (1994).
- [10] G. Thompson, these proceedings
- [11] H. Abramowicz, Rapporteur talk presented at the 28th International Conference on High Energy Physics, Warsaw, Poland, 25-31 July 1996.
- [12] E.A. Kuraev, L.N. Lipatov and V. Fadin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **72**, 373 (1977) (Sov. Phys. JETP **45**, 199 (1977)); Ya. Ya. Balitzkij and L.N. Lipatov, Yad. Fiz. **28**, 1597 (1978) (Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **28**), 822 (1978); L.N. Lipatov, in "Perturbative QCD", edited by A.H. Mueller, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989), p. 441; J.B. Bronzan and R.L. Sugar, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 585 (1978); T. Jaroszewicz, Acta. Phys. Polon. **B11**, 965 (1980).
- [13] L.N. Gribov, E.M. Levin and M.G. Ryskin, Phys. Rep. **100**, 1 (1983).
- [14] B. Badelek et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. **64**, (1992) 927.
- [15] A.D. Martin, Acta. Phys. Polon, **B25**, (1994) 265.
- [16] J. Kwieciński, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **39 B,C**, (1995) 58.
- [17] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson and J. Samuelsson, Z. Phys. **C71**, 613 (1996) .
- [18] J. Kwieciński, A.D. Martin, P.J. Sutton, Z. Phys. **C71**, 585 (1996).
- [19] J.C. Collins, P.V. Landshoff, Phys. Lett. **B276**, 196 (1992).
- [20] J.F. McDermott, J.R. Forshaw and G.G. Ross, Phys. Lett. **B349**, 189 (1995).
- [21] A.H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys., **B415**, 373 (1994); A.H. Mueller and B. Patel, Nucl. Phys. **B425** 471 (1994); A.H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. **B437**, 107 (1995); Chen Zhang and A.H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. **B451**, 579 (1995).
- [22] V.S. Fadin, L.N. Lipatov, DESY preprint 96 - 020.

- [23] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, Phys. Lett. **B242**, 97 (1990); Nucl. Phys. **B366**, 657 (1991); J.C. Collins and R.K. Ellis, Nucl. Phys. **B 360**, 3 (1991); S. Catani and F. Hautmann, Nucl. Phys. **B427**, 475 (1994).
- [24] M. Ciafaloni, Phys. Lett. **356**, 74 (1995).
- [25] J. Kwieciński, A.D. Martin, Phys. Lett. **B353**, 123 (1995).
- [26] G. Camici and M. Ciafaloni, Florence preprint DFF 250/6/96 (hep-ph/9606427).
- [27] S. Catani, Florence preprint DFF 248/4/96 (hep-ph/9609263).
- [28] R.K. Ellis, Z. Kunszt and E.M. Levin, Nucl. Phys. **B420**, 517 (1994); Erratum-ibid. **B433**, 498 (1995).
- [29] R.K. Ellis, F. Hautmann and B.R. Webber, Phys. Lett. **B348**, 582 (1995).
- [30] R.D. Ball and S. Forte, Phys. Lett. **351**, 313 (1995).
- [31] J.R. Forshaw, R.G. Roberts and R.S. Thorne, Phys. Lett. **B356**, 79 (1995)
- [32] M. Ciafaloni, Nucl. Phys. **B296**, 49 (1988) .
- [33] S. Catani, F. Fiorani and G. Marchesini, Phys. Lett. **B234**, 339 (1990); Nucl. Phys. **B336**, 18 (1990); G. Marchesini, in Proceedings of the Workshop "QCD at 200 TeV", Erice, Italy, 1990, edited by. L. Cifarelli and Yu. L. Dokshitzer (Plenum Press, New York, 1992), p. 183; G. Marchesini, Nucl. Phys. **B445**, 49 (1995).
- [34] J. Kwieciński, A.D. Martin, P.J. Sutton, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 1445 (1995).
- [35] J. Kwieciński, A.D. Martin and P.J. Sutton, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 6094 (1996).
- [36] A.D. Martin, R.G. Roberts and W.J. Stirling, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 6734 (1994); Phys. Lett. **354**, 155 (1995).
- [37] M. Glück, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Z.Phys. **C67**, 433 (1995) .
- [38] A. de Rujula et al., Phys. Rev. **D10**, 1649 (1974).
- [39] A.H. Mueller, J. Phys. **G17**, 1443 (1991).
- [40] J. Bartels, M. Loewe and A. DeRoeck, Z. Phys. **C54**, 635 (1992).
- [41] W.K. Tang, Phys. Lett. **B278**, 363 (1992).
- [42] J. Kwieciński, A.D. Martin and P.J. Sutton, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 921 (1992); Phys. Lett. **B287**, 254 (1992).
- [43] J. Bartels et al., Phys. Lett. **B384**, 300 (1996).
- [44] E. Mroczko, talk presented at the 28th International Conference on High Energy Physics, Warsaw, Poland, 25-31 July 1996.
- [45] J. Kwieciński, S.C. Lang, A.D. Martin, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 1877 (1996).
- [46] J. Kwieciński, S.C. Lang, A.D. Martin, Preprint Univ. Durham DTP/96/62.

- [47] V. del Duca, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 4510 (1994).
- [48] W.J. Stirling, Nucl. Phys. **B423** 56, (1994).
- [49] J. Kwieciński, A.D. Martin, P.J. Sutton and K.Golec-Biernat, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 217 (1994); K.Golec-Biernat, J. Kwieciński, A.D. Martin and P.J. Sutton, Phys. Lett. **B335** 220, (1994).
- [50] M. Kuhlen, Proc. of the Workshop on DIS and QCD, Paris, France, 14-28 April 1995, J.F. Laporte and Y. Sirois (editors).
- [51] J.R. Forshaw and R.G. Roberts, Phys. Lett. **B335**, 494 (1994).
- [52] A.J. Askew et al., Phys. Lett. **B338**, 92 (1994).
- [53] ZEUS Collaboration, M. Derrick et al., Phys. Lett. **B315**, 481 (1993); **332**, 228 (1994); **B338**, 483 (1994).
- [54] H1 Collaboration, T. Ahmed et al., Nucl. Phys. **B 429**, 477 (1994).
- [55] H1 Collaboration, T. Ahmed et al., Phys. Lett. **B348**, 681 (1995).
- [56] A. Donnachie and P.V. Landshoff, Nucl. Phys. **B244**, 322 (1984); **B267**, 690 (1986).
- [57] A. Capella et al., Phys. Lett. **B343**, 403 (1995).
- [58] J.C. Collins et al., Phys. Rev. **D51**, 3182 (1995).
- [59] K. Golec - Biernat and J. Kwieciński, Phys. Lett. **B353**, 329 (1995).
- [60] T. Gehrmann and W.J. Stirling, Z. Phys. **C70**, 89 (1996).
- [61] A. Capella et al, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 2309 (1996).
- [62] K. Golec-Biernat, J. Phillips, J. Phys. **G22**, 921 (1996).
- [63] N.N. Nikolaev and B.G. Zakharov, Z.Phys. **C53**, 331 (1992); Jülich preprint KFA-IKP (TH) -1993 - 17; M. Genovesse, N.N. Nikolaev and B.G. Zakharov Jülich preprint KFA-IKP (TH)- 1994-307 (Torino preprint DFTT 42/94).
- [64] E. Levin and M. Wüsthoff Phys. Rev. **D50**, 4306 (1994); J. Bartels, H. Lotter and M. Wüsthoff, DESY preprint 94-95.
- [65] K.Golec-Biernat, J. Kwieciński, INP Kraków preprint 1734/PH.
- [66] J. Phillips, talk presented at the 28th International Conference on High Energy Physics, Warsaw, Poland, 25-31 July 1996.
- [67] W. Buchmüller, Phys. Lett. **B353**, 335 (1995).
- [68] A. Edin, G. Ingelman and J. Rathsmann, Proc. of the Workshop on DIS and QCD, Paris, France, 14-28 April 1995, J.F. Laporte and Y. Sirois (editors).
- [69] B. Badelek and J. Kwieciński, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 445 (1996).